



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/669,217	09/23/2003	Robert W. Esmond	4012.0373-02000	2163
22852	7590 08/17/2005		EXAM	INER
	N, HENDERSON, FAF	KIM, VICKIE Y		
LLP 901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1618	
			DATE MAILED: 08/17/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/669,217	ESMOND ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Vickie Kim	1618
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may on. , a reply within the statutory minimum of the period will apply and will expire SIX (6) Minimum of the statute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for a	llowance except for formal ma	atters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice ur	nder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C	.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	•	
4) Claim(s) <u>13,17-19 and 21</u> is/are pending	in the application	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13,17-19 and 21</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer .	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	·	o by the Examiner
Applicant may not request that any objection t	•	•
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the c		, ,
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by t		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	reign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	•	
1. Certified copies of the priority docu		
2. Certified copies of the priority docu		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the		en received in this National Stage
application from the International B		
* See the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certified copies no	ot received.
. •		
.ttachment(s)		
) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview	Summary (PTO-413)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94		o(s)/Mail Date
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/10/05</u> .	SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of 6) ☐ Other: _	f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Patent and Trademark Office		
FOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)	fice Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20050807

Application/Control Number: 10/669,217 Page 2

Art Unit: 1618

DETAILED ACTION

RCE acknowledged

1. A request for continued examination(RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 5/31/05 has been entered.

Status of application

2. All the pending claims 13, 15, 17-19 and 21 were previously indicated as allowable subject matter. Claims 13, 15, 17-19 and 21 are presented for examination.

Information Disclosure Statement(IDS)

- 3. RCE has been filed 5/31/05 with submission of supplemental IDS which includes several information sheets. However, the papers submitted with IDS are not considered as formal documents. Although these papers was reviewed, the examiner refused to initial and enter. Since formal documents(US patent application publication corresponding to these papers, the examiner includes these formal documents initialed and signed in PTO-892.
- 1) Office action mailed August 25, 2004, in Application No. 10/669281, filed September 23, 2003.

Art Unit: 1618

a. Acknowledgement is made of the papers submitted with IDS on 2/7/05(original submission date Applicant is informed that the review is done b.

Inadvertent typographical error was found. 10/669281 is incorrectly typed 09/669281. The correction is made by examiner and corrected application number is used hereinafter.

c. The corresponding US patent application publication (US2004/0058873) is reviewed and enclosed in PTO-892.

Note: Although current claims prosecuting with co-pending application 10/669281 has been amended to avoid Double patenting rejection with claims now allowed in the instant application 10/669217, the claims 13-20 found in publication US2004/0058873 is conflicting with the instant claims(10/669217) now under the condition for allowance.

Double patenting rejection is necessary to avoid any further conflict. Filing terminal disclaimer would avoid Double patenting rejection.

- 2) Copy of pending claims for US patent application No. 10/936709 filed September 9, 2004.
- a. Acknowledgement is made of the papers submitted with IDS on 2/7/05(original submission date). Applicant is informed that the review is done.
- b. The corresponding US patent application publication (US2005/0043242) is reviewed and enclosed in PTO-892.

Application/Control Number: 10/669,217 Page 4

Art Unit: 1618

c. Original claims are amended before publication, the currently amended claims in both publication and in prosecution would not be conflicting with the instant claims(10/669217) under the condition for allowance.

- 3) <u>Supplementary European Search Report for Application No. EP</u> 98919105, dated May 24, 2004.
- a. Acknowledgement is made of the papers submitted with IDS on 2/7/05(original submission date Applicant is informed that the review is done.
- 4) A copy of an interference request for US application 09/394712 with US

 Patent No.6191154, dated March 29, 2001.
- a. Acknowledgement is made of the papers submitted with IDS on 2/17/05(original submission date). Applicant is informed that the review is done.

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Application/Control Number: 10/669,217

Art Unit: 1618

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 13, 17-19 and 21 provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 13-20 of copending Application publication No. 2004/0058873. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both the claims found in instant application and said patent publication are commonly share same subject matter that is directed to a method for the treatment of Alzheimer's disease using an agent(i.e. chromium, insulin-like growth factor and a thiazolidinedione) and restricting the metabolizable carbohydrates in the diet.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Conclusion

- 1. No claim is allowed.
- 2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vickie Kim whose telephone number is 571-272-0579. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris Low be reached on 571-272-0953. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for Application/Control Number: 10/669,217

Art Unit: 1618

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 6

VICKIE KIM

Vickle Kim

August 8, 2005 Art unit 1618